



Environmental Constraints Report

ATTACHMENT G. PROTECTED SPECIES INFORMATION

Eric Jagger

From: Weston, Delaney <DWeston@indot.IN.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2023 2:13 PM
To: Eric Jagger
Cc: Kia Gillette; Bales, Ronald
Subject: RE: ProPEL Indy - Request for 0.5-mile USFWS Database Search

Hi Eric,

A review of the USFWS GIS database for Indiana bat and Northern long-eared bat roosting, hibernacula and capture sites was conducted for ProPEL Indy on September 18, 2023. There are no documented sites within a half mile the project area. The USFWS Information for Planning and Conservation (IPaC) website must be consulted and a new project created to obtain an official species list and complete the questionnaire for the project to determine the applicability of the programmatic consultation. If needed, the IPaC generated documents must be forwarded to the USFWS for verification.

Thank you,

Delaney Weston

Environmental Manager II

Indiana Department of Transportation

32 South Broadway

Greenfield, IN 46140

Office: (317)-467-3901

Email: dweston@indot.IN.gov



From: Eric Jagger <ejagger@HNTB.com>
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2023 1:53 PM
To: Weston, Delaney <DWeston@indot.IN.gov>; Bales, Ronald <rbales@indot.IN.gov>
Cc: Kia Gillette <kgillette@HNTB.com>
Subject: ProPEL Indy - Request for 0.5-mile USFWS Database Search

Good afternoon Delaney and Ron,

We are working on drafting the Environmental Constraints Report for the ProPEL Indy study. Can we please request the results of the 0.5-mile USFWS Database query for the limits of this study? See the attached .kmz and topographic figures.

This will not be facilitated through IPaC, the results are strictly for inclusion in this report. As always, please let me know if you have any questions or concerns!

Thank you,

Eric Jagger

Planner III

Environmental Planning

Office (317) 917-5206 **Cell** (574) 376-9083 **Email** ejagger@hntb.com

HNTB CORPORATION

111 Monument Circle, Suite 1200 | Indianapolis, IN 46204 | hntb.com



United States Department of the Interior



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
Indiana Ecological Services Field Office
620 South Walker Street
Bloomington, IN 47403-2121
Phone: (812) 334-4261 Fax: (812) 334-4273

In Reply Refer To:
Project Code: 2023-0130070
Project Name: ProPEL Indy

September 18, 2023

Subject: List of threatened and endangered species that may occur in your proposed project location or may be affected by your proposed project

To Whom It May Concern:

The enclosed species list identifies threatened, endangered, proposed and candidate species, as well as proposed and final designated critical habitat, that may occur within the boundary of your proposed project and/or may be affected by your proposed project. The species list fulfills the requirements of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) under section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

New information based on updated surveys, changes in the abundance and distribution of species, changed habitat conditions, or other factors could change this list. Please feel free to contact us if you need more current information or assistance regarding the potential impacts to federally proposed, listed, and candidate species and federally designated and proposed critical habitat. Please note that under 50 CFR 402.12(e) of the regulations implementing section 7 of the Act, the accuracy of this species list should be verified after 90 days. This verification can be completed formally or informally as desired. The Service recommends that verification be completed by visiting the IPaC website at regular intervals during project planning and implementation for updates to species lists and information. An updated list may be requested through the IPaC system by completing the same process used to receive the enclosed list.

The purpose of the Act is to provide a means whereby threatened and endangered species and the ecosystems upon which they depend may be conserved. Under sections 7(a)(1) and 7(a)(2) of the Act and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 402 *et seq.*), Federal agencies are required to utilize their authorities to carry out programs for the conservation of threatened and endangered species and to determine whether projects may affect threatened and endangered species and/or designated critical habitat.

Please use the species list provided and visit the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Region 3 Section 7 Technical Assistance website at - <http://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/section7/s7process/index.html>. This website contains step-by-step instructions which will help you

determine if your project will have an adverse effect on listed species and will help lead you through the Section 7 process. For all **wind energy projects** and **projects that include installing towers that use guy wires or are over 200 feet in height**, please contact this field office directly for assistance, even if no federally listed plants, animals or critical habitat are present within your proposed project or may be affected by your proposed project.

A Biological Assessment is required for construction projects (or other undertakings having similar physical impacts) that are major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment as defined in the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2) (c)). For projects other than major construction activities, the Service suggests that a biological evaluation similar to a Biological Assessment be prepared to determine whether the project may affect listed or proposed species and/or designated or proposed critical habitat. Recommended contents of a Biological Assessment are described at 50 CFR 402.12.

If a Federal agency determines, based on the Biological Assessment or biological evaluation, that listed species and/or designated critical habitat may be affected by the proposed project, the agency is required to consult with the Service pursuant to 50 CFR 402. In addition, the Service recommends that candidate species, proposed species and proposed critical habitat be addressed within the consultation. More information on the regulations and procedures for section 7 consultation, including the role of permit or license applicants, can be found in the "Endangered Species Consultation Handbook" at:

<https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/endangered-species-consultation-handbook.pdf>

Migratory Birds: In addition to responsibilities to protect threatened and endangered species under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), there are additional responsibilities under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA) to protect native birds from project-related impacts. Any activity, intentional or unintentional, resulting in take of migratory birds, including eagles, is prohibited unless otherwise permitted by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (50 C.F.R. Sec. 10.12 and 16 U.S.C. Sec. 668(a)). For more information regarding these Acts, see <https://www.fws.gov/program/migratory-bird-permit/what-we-do>.

The MBTA has no provision for allowing take of migratory birds that may be unintentionally killed or injured by otherwise lawful activities. It is the responsibility of the project proponent to comply with these Acts by identifying potential impacts to migratory birds and eagles within applicable NEPA documents (when there is a federal nexus) or a Bird/Eagle Conservation Plan (when there is no federal nexus). Proponents should implement conservation measures to avoid or minimize the production of project-related stressors or minimize the exposure of birds and their resources to the project-related stressors. For more information on avian stressors and recommended conservation measures, see <https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/threats-birds>.

In addition to MBTA and BGEPA, Executive Order 13186: *Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds*, obligates all Federal agencies that engage in or authorize activities that might affect migratory birds, to minimize those effects and encourage conservation measures that will improve bird populations. Executive Order 13186 provides for the protection of both migratory birds and migratory bird habitat. For information regarding the implementation of

Executive Order 13186, please visit <https://www.fws.gov/partner/council-conservation-migratory-birds>.

We appreciate your concern for threatened and endangered species. The Service encourages Federal agencies to include conservation of threatened and endangered species into their project planning to further the purposes of the Act. **Please include the Consultation Code in the header of this letter with any request for consultation or correspondence about your project that you submit to our office.**

Attachment(s):

- Official Species List
- Bald & Golden Eagles
- Migratory Birds
- Wetlands

OFFICIAL SPECIES LIST

This list is provided pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, and fulfills the requirement for Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary of the Interior information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of a proposed action".

This species list is provided by:

Indiana Ecological Services Field Office

620 South Walker Street
Bloomington, IN 47403-2121
(812) 334-4261

PROJECT SUMMARY

Project Code: 2023-0130070

Project Name: ProPEL Indy

Project Type: Introduction

Project Description:

Project Location:

The approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: <https://www.google.com/maps/@39.8003853,-86.09420942696832,14z>



Counties: Marion County, Indiana

ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT SPECIES

There is a total of 5 threatened, endangered, or candidate species on this species list.

Species on this list should be considered in an effects analysis for your project and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fish may appear on the species list because a project could affect downstream species.

IPaC does not display listed species or critical habitats under the sole jurisdiction of NOAA Fisheries¹, as USFWS does not have the authority to speak on behalf of NOAA and the Department of Commerce.

See the "Critical habitats" section below for those critical habitats that lie wholly or partially within your project area under this office's jurisdiction. Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

-
1. [NOAA Fisheries](#), also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

MAMMALS

NAME	STATUS
Indiana Bat <i>Myotis sodalis</i> There is final critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat. Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5949	Endangered
Northern Long-eared Bat <i>Myotis septentrionalis</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045	Endangered
Tricolored Bat <i>Perimyotis subflavus</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/10515	Proposed Endangered

BIRDS

NAME	STATUS
Whooping Crane <i>Grus americana</i> Population: U.S.A. (AL, AR, CO, FL, GA, ID, IL, IN, IA, KY, LA, MI, MN, MS, MO, NC, NM, OH, SC, TN, UT, VA, WI, WV, western half of WY) No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/758	Experimental Population, Non- Essential

INSECTS

NAME	STATUS
Monarch Butterfly <i>Danaus plexippus</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9743	Candidate

CRITICAL HABITATS

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA UNDER THIS OFFICE'S JURISDICTION.

YOU ARE STILL REQUIRED TO DETERMINE IF YOUR PROJECT(S) MAY HAVE EFFECTS ON ALL ABOVE LISTED SPECIES.

BALD & GOLDEN EAGLES

Bald and golden eagles are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act¹ and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act².

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to bald or golden eagles, or their habitats³, should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described below.

-
1. The [Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act](#) of 1940.
 2. The [Migratory Birds Treaty Act](#) of 1918.
 3. 50 C.F.R. Sec. 10.12 and 16 U.S.C. Sec. 668(a)

There are bald and/or golden eagles in your project area.

For guidance on when to schedule activities or implement avoidance and minimization measures to reduce impacts to migratory birds on your list, click on the PROBABILITY OF PRESENCE SUMMARY at the top of your list to see when these birds are most likely to be present and breeding in your project area.

NAME	BREEDING SEASON
Bald Eagle <i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i> This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.	Breeds Oct 15 to Aug 31
Golden Eagle <i>Aquila chrysaetos</i> This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1680	Breeds elsewhere

MIGRATORY BIRDS

Certain birds are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act¹ and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act².

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to migratory birds, eagles, and their habitats³ should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described below.

1. The [Migratory Birds Treaty Act](#) of 1918.
2. The [Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act](#) of 1940.
3. 50 C.F.R. Sec. 10.12 and 16 U.S.C. Sec. 668(a)

For guidance on when to schedule activities or implement avoidance and minimization measures to reduce impacts to migratory birds on your list, click on the PROBABILITY OF PRESENCE SUMMARY at the top of your list to see when these birds are most likely to be present and breeding in your project area.

NAME	BREEDING SEASON
American Golden-plover <i>Pluvialis dominica</i> This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds elsewhere
Bald Eagle <i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i> This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.	Breeds Oct 15 to Aug 31
Black-billed Cuckoo <i>Coccyzus erythrophthalmus</i> This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9399	Breeds May 15 to Oct 10
Bobolink <i>Dolichonyx oryzivorus</i> This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds May 20 to Jul 31
Cerulean Warbler <i>Dendroica cerulea</i> This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/2974	Breeds Apr 21 to Jul 20
Chimney Swift <i>Chaetura pelagica</i> This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds Mar 15 to Aug 25
Eastern Whip-poor-will <i>Antrostomus vociferus</i> This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds May 1 to Aug 20

NAME	BREEDING SEASON
<p>Golden Eagle <i>Aquila chrysaetos</i></p> <p>This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities.</p> <p>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1680</p>	Breeds elsewhere
<p>Henslow's Sparrow <i>Ammodramus henslowii</i></p> <p>This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.</p> <p>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/3941</p>	Breeds May 1 to Aug 31
<p>Kentucky Warbler <i>Oporornis formosus</i></p> <p>This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.</p>	Breeds Apr 20 to Aug 20
<p>Lesser Yellowlegs <i>Tringa flavipes</i></p> <p>This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.</p> <p>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9679</p>	Breeds elsewhere
<p>Prothonotary Warbler <i>Protonotaria citrea</i></p> <p>This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.</p>	Breeds Apr 1 to Jul 31
<p>Red-headed Woodpecker <i>Melanerpes erythrocephalus</i></p> <p>This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.</p>	Breeds May 10 to Sep 10
<p>Rusty Blackbird <i>Euphagus carolinus</i></p> <p>This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA</p>	Breeds elsewhere
<p>Short-billed Dowitcher <i>Limnodromus griseus</i></p> <p>This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.</p> <p>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9480</p>	Breeds elsewhere
<p>Upland Sandpiper <i>Bartramia longicauda</i></p> <p>This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA</p> <p>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9294</p>	Breeds May 1 to Aug 31
<p>Wood Thrush <i>Hylocichla mustelina</i></p> <p>This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.</p>	Breeds May 10 to Aug 31

PROBABILITY OF PRESENCE SUMMARY

The graphs below provide our best understanding of when birds of concern are most likely to be present in your project area. This information can be used to tailor and schedule your project activities to avoid or minimize impacts to birds. Please make sure you read the supplemental

information and specifically the FAQ "Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report" before using or attempting to interpret this report.

Probability of Presence (■)

Green bars; the bird's relative probability of presence in the 10km grid cell(s) your project overlaps during that week of the year.

Breeding Season (■)


Yellow bars; liberal estimate of the timeframe inside which the bird breeds across its entire range.


Survey Effort (|)

Vertical black lines; the number of surveys performed for that species in the 10km grid cell(s) your project area overlaps.

No Data (-)

A week is marked as having no data if there were no survey events for that week.





Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Eagle Management <https://www.fws.gov/program/eagle-management>
- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds <https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/avoiding-and-minimizing-incident-take-migratory-birds>
- Nationwide conservation measures for birds <https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/nationwide-standard-conservation-measures.pdf>
- Supplemental Information for Migratory Birds and Eagles in IPaC <https://www.fws.gov/media/supplemental-information-migratory-birds-and-bald-and-golden-eagles-may-occur-project-action>

WETLANDS

Impacts to [NWI wetlands](#) and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local [U.S. Army Corps of Engineers District](#).

Please note that the NWI data being shown may be out of date. We are currently working to update our NWI data set. We recommend you verify these results with a site visit to determine the actual extent of wetlands on site.

RIVERINE

- [R2UBH](#)
- [R3UBH](#)
- [R2UBHx](#)
- [R4SBC](#)
- [R4SBCx](#)

IPAC USER CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency: Indiana Department of Transportation
Name: Eric Jagger
Address: 111 Monument Circle
Address Line 2: Suite 1200
City: Indianapolis
State: IN
Zip: 46204
Email: ejagger@hntb.com
Phone: 3179175206

LEAD AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

Lead Agency: Federal Highway Administration

THIS IS NOT A PERMIT

State of Indiana
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
Division of Fish and Wildlife
Early Coordination/Environmental Assessment

DNR#: ER-26546

Request Received: May 20, 2024

Requestor:

Kia Gillette
HNTB Corporation
111 Monument Circle, Suite 1200
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Project:

ProPEL Indy: Planning and Environment Linkages (PEL) study on I-65 and I-70 within I-465, Indianapolis; Des #2201129

meeting request June 14th

County/Site Info: Marion County

The Indiana Department of Natural Resources has reviewed the above referenced project per your request. Our agency offers the following comments for your information and in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

If our agency has regulatory jurisdiction over the project, the recommendations contained in this letter may become requirements of any permit issued. If we do not have permitting authority, all recommendations are voluntary.

Regulatory Assessment:

This proposal may require the formal approval of our agency pursuant to the Flood Control Act (IC 14-28-1) for any proposal to construct, excavate, or fill in or on the floodway of a stream or other flowing waterbody which has a drainage area greater than one square mile. To determine if a permit will be required, the Indiana Floodplain Information Portal (INFIP) is a mapping application developed by the DNR, Division of Water to generate a Floodplain Analysis and Regulatory Assessment (FARA) that provides floodplain information. The portal is on the Division of Water's webpage at infip.dnr.in.gov.

Natural Heritage Database:

The Natural Heritage Program's data have been checked. The Division of Nature Preserves does not anticipate any significant impacts to the below-listed community. The following have been documented within .5 mile of the project area:

Properties

Eagle Creek Park

Communities

Central Till Plain Flatwoods

Animal Assemblages

Migratory Bird Concentration Area
Raptor Migratory Concentration Area
Shorebird Migratory Concentration Area
Wading Bird Colony

Fauna

Kirtland's snake (*Clonophis kirtlandii*), State endangered
Black-crowned Night-heron (*Nycticorax nycticorax*), State endangered
Cerulean Warbler (*Setophaga cerulea*), State endangered
Golden-winged Warbler (*Vermivora chrysoptera*), State endangered
Henslow's Sparrow (*Centronyx henslowii*), State endangered
Upland Sandpiper (*Bartramia longicauda*), State endangered
Black-and-white Warbler (*Mniotilta varia*), State special concern
Broad-winged Hawk (*Buteo platypterus*), State special concern
Common Nighthawk (*Chordeiles minor*), State special concern
Hooded Warbler (*Setophaga citrina*), State special concern
Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*), State special concern
Worm-eating Warbler (*Helmitheros vermivorus*), State special concern
Rabbitsfoot (*Theliderma cylindrica*), State endangered
Snuffbox (*Epioblasma triquetra*), State endangered
Little Spectaclecase (*Villosa lianosa*), State special concern
Rainbow (*Villosa iris*), State special concern
Spike (*Eurynia dilatata*), State special concern
Badger (*Taxidea taxus*), State special concern
An Antmimic Spider (*Castianeira alata*), State endangered

Fish and Wildlife Comments:

It is understood that no site-specific projects are detailed at this time. The following recommendations and guidelines should be considered generalized at this time:

Avoid and minimize impacts to fish, wildlife, and botanical resources to the greatest extent possible, and compensate for impacts. The following are recommendations that address potential impacts identified in the proposed project area:

A) Heritage Species

Considerations should be made to minimize impacts to local populations of Kirtland's Snakes, especially along the I-65 corridor near Eagle Creek Park and the I-70 corridor as it approaches the airport. For more information on how to minimize impacts to Kirtland's Snakes, please contact State Herpetologist Nate Engbrecht (nengbrecht@dnr.in.gov, 812-822-3403).

The Division of Fish and Wildlife does not anticipate any significant impacts to the above-listed bird or mussel species due to this project.

Badgers are a wide-ranging species that prefer an open, prairie-type habitat, with Indiana being at the eastern edge of their natural range. The range of the Badger continues to expand as a result of land-use changes from forest to farmland and open pastureland. Impacts to the Badger or its preferred habitat are unlikely as a result of this project.

B) Stream Crossing Design

Bridges are preferred over culverts, and three-sided culverts are preferred over box or pipe culverts. Multiple culverts or culverts with multiple openings are not recommended for approval. These types of structures are often problematic for fish and wildlife passage as they tend to accumulate debris and become blocked. If box and pipe culverts are used, the culvert bottoms should be sumped a minimum of 6" (or 20% of the culvert height or diameter, whichever is greater up to a maximum of 2') below the stream bed elevation. Sumping is not required for bridges or three-sided culverts. Crossings must span the entire channel width (a minimum of 1.2 times the ordinary high-water mark width). Crossings must maintain the natural stream substrate within the structure (natural stream substrate must be replaced in sumped box and pipe culverts up to the existing flowline). Scour protection at the inlet and outlet must not extend above the existing flowline elevation. Stream depth, channel width and water velocities in the crossing structure during low-flow conditions must approximate those in the natural stream channel.

The new/replacement/rehabilitated crossing structure, and any bank stabilization under or around the structure, must not create conditions that are less favorable for wildlife passage when compared to existing conditions. Upgrading wildlife passage for replacement/rehabilitated structures is recommended whenever possible to improve wildlife/vehicle safety. White-tailed deer passage must be incorporated into all new structures where no structure previously existed. Minimum structure dimensions for white-tailed deer passage are 20 feet of width clearance (overall span of the structure) and 8 feet of height clearance measured from the ordinary high-water mark (OHWM). Bank lines must be maintained or restored within structures to allow for wildlife passage above the OHWM. All wildlife passage designs must include a smooth level pathway preferably 3 feet wide but a minimum of 1-2 feet in width composed of natural substrate (soil, sand, gravel, etc.) or compacted aggregate fill over riprap (#2, #53, #73, etc.) tied into existing elevations both upstream and downstream. The width and location of the wildlife pathway is dependent on the wildlife species using the area.

There are several techniques and materials for incorporating wildlife passage into the design of a crossing structure. Coordination with a Regional Environmental Biologist to address wildlife passage issues before submitting a permit application (if required) is encouraged to avoid delays in the permitting process. The following links are good resources to consider in the design of stream crossing structures to maintain fish and wildlife passage:

- <https://www.fs.usda.gov/ccrc/tool/fishxing-fish-passage-learning-systems>
- <https://www.fs.usda.gov/wildlifecrossings/library/index.php>
- https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/clas/ctip/wildlife_crossing_structures/
- <https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/engineering/hydraulics/pubs/11008/hif11008.pdf>

C) Streambank Stabilization

Some form of bank stabilization is almost always needed with the construction, repair, replacement, or modification of a stream channel or crossing structure. For streambank stabilization and erosion control, regrading to a stable slope (2:1 or shallower) and establishing native vegetation along the banks are typically the most effective techniques and allow a vegetated stream bank to develop. A variety of methods to accomplish this include planting plugs, whips, container stock, seeding, and live stakes. In addition to vegetation establishment, some additional level of bioengineered bank stabilization may be needed under certain circumstances (inability to regrade to a stable slope, flow velocities that exceed the limits of vegetation alone, etc.). Combining vegetation with any of the following bank stabilization methods can provide additional bank protection while not compromising benefits to fish, wildlife, and botanical resources:

- Geotextiles (erosion control blankets and/or turf reinforcement mats that are heavy-duty, biodegradable, and net free or that use loose-woven / Leno-woven netting to minimize the entrapment and snaring of small-bodied wildlife such as snakes and turtles)
- Vegetated geogrids or soil lifts, fiber rolls, glacial stone, or riprap.

Riprap or other hard bank stabilization materials should be used only at the toe of the sideslopes up to the OHWM with the exception of areas directly under bridges for instance. The banks above the OHWM should be restored, stabilized, and revegetated using geotextiles and a mixture of grasses, sedges, wildflowers, shrubs, and trees native to Central Indiana and specifically for stream bank/floodway stabilization purposes as soon as possible upon completion. Information about bioengineering techniques can be found at the following link to a USDA/NRCS document that outlines many different bioengineering techniques for streambank stabilization: https://irrigationtoolbox.com/NEH/Part650_EngineeringFieldHandbook/H_210_650_16.pdf.

D) Riparian Habitat

We recommend a mitigation plan be developed (and submitted with the permit application, if required) for any unavoidable habitat impacts that will occur. The DNR's Habitat Mitigation Guidelines (and plant lists) can be found online at: <https://www.in.gov/nrc/files/IB-17.pdf>.

Impacts to non-wetland forest of one (1) acre or more in a rural or urban area should be mitigated at a minimum 2:1 ratio based on area of impact. Impacts to non-wetland forest under one (1) acre but at least 0.10 acre in a rural or urban area should be mitigated at a minimum 1:1 ratio based on area of impact. Impacts under 0.10 acre in a rural area typically do not require mitigation or additional plantings beyond seeding and stabilizing disturbed areas, though there are exceptions for high quality habitat sites. Impacts under 0.10 acre

in an urban area should be mitigated by replacing trees that are 10" diameter-at-breast height (dbh) or greater by planting five trees, 1" to 2" in dbh, for each tree which is removed that is 10" dbh or greater. Seeding and stabilizing disturbed areas is required regardless of the impact amount and location. If floodway impacts to forested wetland and non-wetland habitat areas combine to be 0.10 acres or more, mitigation should be done and coordinated with the biologist, as needed.

The mitigation site should be located in the floodway, downstream of the one (1) square mile drainage area of that stream (or another stream within the 8-digit HUC, preferably as close to the impact site as possible) and adjacent to existing forested riparian habitat.

E) Street Trees

The Division of Fish and Wildlife recommends avoiding removing urban trees to the greatest extent possible and replacing trees that must be removed. Street trees are important to fish and wildlife resources in urban areas. Indiana's street trees also provide millions of dollars of tangible benefits to Indiana communities by their presence in the urban environment. Their shade and beauty contribute to the quality of life. They provide significant increases in real estate values, create attractive settings for commercial businesses, and improve community neighborhood appeal. Trees decrease energy consumption by providing shade and acting as windbreaks. They reduce water treatment costs and impede soil erosion by slowing the runoff of stormwater. Trees also cool the air temperature, cleanse pollutants from the air, and produce oxygen while absorbing carbon dioxide. Trees are an integral component of the urban environment. Proactively managing and maintaining a street tree population will ultimately maximize the benefits afforded by their aesthetic and ecological functions. The following links give a good overview of the benefits of a street tree program and how to select the right species to avoid the negative impacts of non-native invasive species such as the common and popular Bradford pear: <https://www.in.gov/dnr/forestry/forestry-publications-and-presentations/> (scroll down to the Community & Urban Forestry section).

F) Wetlands

Due to the presence or potential presence of wetland habitat on site, we recommend contacting and coordinating with the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) 401 program and the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 404 program.

G) Drainage and Stormwater Management

The Division of Fish and Wildlife recommends considering a more sustainable approach to stormwater management. The traditional model of stormwater management aims to drain runoff as quickly as possible with the help of channels and pipes, which increases peak flows and costs of stormwater management. This type of solution only transfers drainage problems from one section of a basin to another. A more sustainable approach should aim to rebuild the natural water cycle by using storage techniques (retention basins, constructed wetlands, raingardens, etc.) and recharging groundwater using infiltration techniques (infiltration basins or trenches, pervious pavement, etc.). The following links give a good overview of traditional and sustainable stormwater management systems and their pros and cons for consideration during the design of the proposed project: <https://www.epa.gov/greeningepa/epa-facility-stormwater-management>; <https://www.epa.gov/greeningepa/stormwater-management-practices-epa-facilities>.

H) Pavement Rehabilitation

Pavement rehabilitation projects typically do not have a significant impact on fish, wildlife, and botanical resources if best management practices (BMPs) are in place to limit the migration of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) into local waterways. PAHs are a byproduct of asphalt and coal tar-based sealants and negatively impact aquatic systems. The use of sealants that are free of petroleum and coal tar-based products is encouraged whenever possible. Contaminated road runoff can significantly impact the aquatic environment through increased turbidity and release of sediment into the stream which can be harmful to fish and other aquatic organisms, their eggs, and their food supply. Where possible, road runoff should be directed to riprap turnouts and sediment filtration prior to entering a stream to reduce impacts to aquatic species. We recommend the use of pollutant trapping technology such as storm drain inserts to reduce the runoff of roadside pollutants where appropriate.

I) Induced Demand/Traffic

There is some scientific evidence to suggest that adding additional travel lanes along a particular transportation corridor may increase traffic congestion rather than decrease it. It is understood that the proposed project is also intended to improve motorist safety in addition to adding capacity. The Division of Fish and Wildlife recommends at a minimum considering the potential negative impacts of increasing capacity into the planning process. It appears that pedestrian facilities are being considered for inclusion. Including these types of transportation alternatives is recommended for inclusion in a project of this type to potentially offset some of the negative impacts of induced demand/traffic. The following is a link to a Federal Highway Administration Office of Planning webpage that discusses the basics of induced travel: <https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/itfaq.cfm>.

J) Noise Barriers

The Division of Fish and Wildlife recommends further exploring the purpose and need for the use of prefabricated concrete panel noise walls. Many studies have indicated that concrete panel noise walls are only marginally effective at blocking sound waves and can create negative impacts such as reflecting rather than absorbing sound waves thereby amplifying noise levels under certain conditions. Other negative impacts of noise walls have been identified that affect both humans and the surrounding environment. For wildlife, roads in general present physical barriers to animals, dividing populations and causing deaths (both human and wildlife) through collisions with vehicles. Long vertical barriers such as noise walls have been found to exacerbate these problems, particularly for smaller animals, by concentrating wildlife movement near the ends of the walls. Noise walls can also affect wildlife communication, migration, and reproductive success. Noise barriers should be situated such that they do not impact existing travel corridors to bridges or culverts under the roadway or funnel wildlife to areas that could create wildlife/vehicle conflicts that are less favorable for wildlife when compared to current conditions. Alternatives to prefabricated concrete panel noise walls and/or additional noise management measures include Eco Sound Barrier, vegetated earthen berms, continuous reinforced concrete pavement, "Next Generation" pavement grooving, and jointless concrete bridges.

K) LED Lighting

Most transportation corridor designers and municipalities are trending toward LED lighting. Lighting in forested areas and along creeks, streams, and rivers should be the lowest intensity feasible and shielded to cast light downwards onto the road and not up- or outwards into the surroundings to avoid disturbing wildlife circadian rhythms and disorienting night-migrating birds.

Certain types of LED lighting can have negative impacts on both human and wildlife health and safety. The International Dark-Sky Association has developed a set of recommendations for those choosing LED lighting systems. These suggestions will aid in the selection of lighting that is energy and cost efficient, yet ensures safety and security, protects wildlife, and promotes the goal of reducing light pollution:

- Always choose fully shielded fixtures that emit no light upward.
- Use "warm-white" or filtered LEDs (CCT < 3,000 K; S/P ratio < 1.2) to minimize harmful blue light emission.
- Look for products with adaptive controls like dimmers, timers, and motion sensors.
- Consider dimming or turning off lights during non-peak overnight hours.
- Avoid the temptation to over-light because of the higher luminous efficiency of LEDs.
- Only light the exact space and in the amount required for particular tasks.

The Division of Fish and Wildlife strongly encourages visiting the following link to learn more about the potential negative impacts of improperly selected LED lighting systems: <http://darksky.org/light-pollution/light-pollution-solutions/>.

The additional measures listed below should be implemented to avoid, minimize, or compensate for impacts to fish, wildlife, and botanical resources:

1. Revegetate all bare and disturbed areas that are not currently mowed and maintained with a mixture of grasses, sedges, and wildflowers native to Central Indiana and specifically for stream bank/floodway stabilization purposes as soon as possible upon completion; turf-type grasses (including low-endophyte, friendly endophyte, and endophyte free tall fescue but excluding all other varieties of tall fescue) may be used in currently mowed areas only. A native herbaceous seed mixture must include at least 5 species of grasses and sedges and 5 species of wildflowers.
2. Minimize and contain within the project limits in-channel disturbance and the clearing of trees and brush.
3. Do not work in the waterway from April 1 through June 30 without the prior written approval of the Division of Fish and Wildlife.
4. Do not cut any trees suitable for Indiana Bat or Northern Long-eared Bat roosting (3 inches or greater diameter-at-breast height, living or dead, with loose hanging bark, or with cracks, crevices, or cavities) from April 1 through September 30.
5. Do not construct any temporary runarounds, access bridges, causeways, cofferdams, diversions, or pumparounds.
6. Use minimum average 6-inch graded riprap stone extended below the normal water level to provide habitat for aquatic organisms in the voids.
7. Do not use broken concrete as riprap.
8. Underlay the riprap with a bedding layer of well graded aggregate or a geotextile to prevent piping of soil underneath the riprap.
9. Minimize the movement of resuspended bottom sediment from the immediate project area.
10. Do not deposit or allow construction/demolition materials or debris to fall or otherwise enter the waterway. Any incidental fallen material or debris in the waterway must be removed within 24 hours using best management practices, particularly lifting material out of the waterway and not dragging it across the streambed whenever possible.
11. Appropriately designed measures for controlling erosion and sediment must be implemented to prevent sediment from entering the waterbody or leaving the construction site; maintain these measures until construction is complete and all disturbed areas are stabilized.
12. Seed and protect all disturbed streambanks and slopes not protected by other methods that are 3:1 or steeper with erosion control blankets that are heavy-duty, biodegradable, and net free or that use loose-woven / Leno-woven netting to minimize the entrapment and snaring of small-bodied wildlife such as snakes and turtles (follow manufacturer's recommendations for selection and installation); seed and apply mulch on all other disturbed areas.

Contact Staff:

Our agency appreciates this opportunity to be of service. Please contact me at RVanVoorhis@dnr.IN.gov or (317) 232-8163 if we can be of further assistance.

Rachel Van Voorhis

Rachel Van Voorhis
Environmental Coordinator
Division of Fish and Wildlife

Date: June 19, 2024